

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,520	04/19/2001	Geoffrey T. Haigh	A0312/7393 SJH	9453
23628	7590 10/18/2002			
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC			EXAMINER	
600 ATLANT	ESERVE PLAZA IC AVENUE		DEBERADINIS, ROBERT L	
BOSTON, MA 02210-2211			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

09

Application No. **09/838,520**

Applicant(s)

GEOFFREY T. HAIGH et al.

Office Action Summary Exam

Examiner
Robert L. DeBeradinis

Art Unit 2836

		the second and address			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for	or Reply	O EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Extensi	ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no	event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
mailing	date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the seriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply will exply end	statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.			
- If NO p	eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply the	application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
- Any rei	by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this	communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 16, 20	02			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
<i>31</i> □	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
D isposi	tion of Claims	t / Landa to the englication			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>14-27</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	1a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 🔀	Claim(s) <u>14-27</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	ation Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
9) □	The decision of the decision is are is are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
10)∐	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	awing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
- -	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner			
11)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	this Office action.			
4 O) 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir				
12)∟					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
a) i	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.				
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments have been received in this National Stage			
*(See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a)	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	l application has been received.			
15)□	the state of a delay for demostic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
	ment(s)	(DTO 410) Described			
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) 🔲	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/838,520 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

The reply filed 9/16/02 requests reconsideration on the basis that Chen teaches away from the claimed invention, therefore defeats any argument that Chen would suggest the combination. The claims are not allowable as explained below.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper time wise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/838,520

Art Unit: 2836

2. Claims 14-27 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,087,882 in view of GUTIERREZ 5,969,590 and DOUGLASS 5,786,979.

Regarding claim 14.

CHEN discloses a signal isolator comprising:

a first substrate (column 2, line 1);

a first passive component formed on first substrate (column 2, line 1);

an isolation layer formed over the first passive component (column 3, lines 9-12);

a second passive component formed over the isolation layer (column 3, lines 9-12);

an input for receiving an input signal (figure 1, input 2); and

a driver circuit (103) coupled between the input and one of said passive components (L1,110).

CHEN does not disclose a second passive component being a coil. CHEN, however does disclose that transformer based isolators are well known (column 1, lines 20-22) and GUTIERREZ discloses an integrated circuit transformer with inductor-substrate isolation, including the first and second passive components being coils (16,17).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to design a signal isolator comprising, an integrated circuit transformer wherein the

Application/Control Number: 09/838,520

Art Unit: 2836

second passive component is a coil to provide a transformer based isolator (CHEN, column 1, lines 20-22).

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CHEN 6,087,882 in view of DOUGLASS 5,786,979.

Regarding claim 15.

CHEN discloses a signal isolator comprising:

a first substrate (column 2, line 1);

a first passive component formed on first substrate (column 2, line 1);

an isolation layer formed over the first passive component (column 3, lines 9-12);

a second passive component formed over the isolation layer (column 3, lines 9-12);

an input for receiving an input signal (figure 1, input 2); and

a driver circuit (103) coupled between the input and one of said passive components (L1,110).

CHEN does not disclose the first and second passive components being capacitor plates.

CHEN, however does disclose that capacitively coupled isolators are well known (column 1, lines 20-22) and

DOUGLASS discloses a conductive layer, disposed over a circuit layer on a substrate, divided into electro-magnetic coupling device elements such as capacitor plates.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/838,520

Art Unit: 2836

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to design a signal isolator having the first and second passive components being capacitor plates to increase inter-chip interconnection capacity while maximizing chip real estate allocated to a circuit layer (DOUGLASS, abstract).

Regarding claims 16.

DOUGLASS discloses the first substrate is a semiconductor substrate (column 1, lines 42-45).

Regarding claim 17.

CHEN discloses driver 103 may be fabricated on first substrate also a single die implementation is also possible including the driver and the passive component (column 5, lines 1-6).

CHEN does not disclose first substrate is a semiconductor substrate.

DOUGLASS discloses the first substrate is a semiconductor substrate (column 1, lines 42-45).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to include the driver and the first passive component to be fabricated on the first substrate to reduce size and improve isolation between the driver and the circuits coupled to the driver.

Regarding claim 18.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,520

Art Unit: 2836

CHEN discloses the driver circuit may be, for example, formed on a first substrate and receiver formed on a second substrate (column 1, line 67, column 2, lines 1-3).

CHEN does not disclose a semiconductor substrate.

DOUGLASS discloses the first substrate is a semiconductor substrate (column 1, lines 42-45).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to arrange the first substrate and the second substrate in an order that is most convenient to a system layout and to design the circuits on semiconductor substrates to minimize size.

Regarding claim 19.

DOUGLASS discloses the first passive component is formed on top of the first substrate (column 3, lines 17-32).

Regarding claims 20-27.

CHEN discloses driver 103 may be fabricated on first substrate also a single die implementation is also possible including the driver and the passive component (column 5, lines 1-6).

CHEN does not disclose all the embodiments, as claimed, for the fabrication of an isolator substrate.

GUTIERREZ discloses several embodiments for the fabrication of integrated circuit transformers with inductor-substrate isolation.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,520 Page 7

Art Unit: 2836

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to merely arrange a substrate for an isolator having passive components, isolation layers, shielding and the grounding layers to provide the desired isolation required for a magnetically coupled digital isolator.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/16/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that although CHEN discloses coil L1 being a passive component formed on a substrate and because CHEN discloses a magnetically coupled digital isolated using spin value resistors wherein the coil magnetically couples to elements of the bridge instead of coupling to a second coil, therefore, CHEN teaches away from transformer coupled devices.

CHEN discloses other means of coupling are well known and transformer base isolators are among the well known. CHEN provides an improvement over the well known transformer coupled device. One half of CHAN'S invention (L1) is a magnetically coupling device coupling to the spin value elements instead of coupling to a second coil. The second coil being formed on a substrate similar to (L1) configuration. GUTLERREZ discloses the well known integrated circuit transformer with inductor-substrate isolation.